

COST OF VARIOUS TILLAGE SYSTEMS FOR MAIZE PRODUCTION

by A.J. Arathoon, C.G. Archer, S.R. Bezuidenhout and A.J. Kent

INTRODUCTION: Crop establishment and the associated capital outlay in equipment, as well as the costs of using the equipment, form a major part of a farm budget. These costs will vary according to different tillage systems.

AIM: To determine the input costs of four tillage systems for the establishment of maize.

METHODS: The crop establishment costs included equipment costs, labour, applications of pre-emergence herbicides (including a glyphosate application to kill the weeds in the No-till system) and an insecticide for cutworm control. No costs were included for post-emergence herbicide applications, stalk-borer control, fungicide applications and harvesting, as these costs would have been the same for all the tillage systems. However, the cost of an additional 40 kg/ha nitrogen, applied as a side-dressing, was included for the No-till operation, because there is a yield response to higher nitrogen application rates.

RESULTS:

- Capital outlay, equipment costs, labour costs, and the number of hours per hectare and litres of fuel per hectare, decreased as the tillage systems changed from Conventional ploughing to No-till (Table 1).
- The cost of the glyphosate application and the additional nitrogen required for No-till resulted in this system having the highest cultivation cost.

Tillage System	Capital Outlay (Rand)	Total Costs per Hectare (Rand)						
		Equipment	Labour	Equipment + Labour	Agro- chemicals and Nitrogen	Cultivation	Total Hours per Hectare	Total Fuel per Hectare (Litres)
Conventional (disc, plough and disc)	2 332 167	2 636.14	119.14	2 755.27	676.73	3 432.00	4.74	53.43
Chisel Plough and Disc	2 368 797	2 414.08	109.25	2 523.33	676.73	3 200.06	4.22	46.60
Stubble Mulch (Chisel plough)	2 228 067	1 876.44	86.45	1 962.89	676.73	2 639.62	3.02	30.83
No-Till	1 382 692	1 514.51	79.14	1 593.65	1617.66	3 211.31	2.38	20.34

TABLE 1 (Capital outlay,	cultivation costs,	number of hours	and litres of fuel	required	per hectare for	four tillage systems
-----------	-----------------	--------------------	-----------------	--------------------	----------	-----------------	----------------------

CONCLUSION:

- No-till requires less capital outlay, labour and fuel than the other tillage systems, because less equipment and operations are used.
- The time spent in land preparation and planting of the No-till crop is less than with the other tillage systems. •
- The additional nitrogen and herbicide costs required for No-till resulted in a similar establishment cost to the Chisel Plough and Disc system. However, the benefits obtained from conserving soil, nutrients and soil moisture with No-till outweigh those of the conventional ploughing systems. The higher levels of soil moisture conserved with No-till can result in yield benefits of ≥ 2 t/ha in dry seasons.

TOGETHER WE HAVE MADE KZN A BETTER PROVINCE TO LIVE IN